



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,425	01/11/2006	Takaharu Suzuki	90606.80/ok	8036
54/071 7590 01/10/2008 YAMAHA HATSUDOKI KABUSHIKI KAISHA C/O KEATING & BENNETT, LLP 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 850 MCLEAN, VA 22102				
EXAMINER				
SHEVIN, MARK L				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
4116				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/10/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JKEATING@KBIPLAW.COM
uspto@kbiplaw.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/564,425	SUZUKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark L. Shevin	4116	

All Participants:

(1) Mark L. Shevin.

(2) Mr. Stephen Funk.

Date of Interview: 12 December 2007

Status of Application: At first action

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 9:30 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All pending (claims 18-34)

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I called Mr. Funk to require restriction between the following two groups:

Group I, claims 18-26, drawn to a titanium alloy product

Group II, claims 27-34, drawn to a method of producing titanium alloy part.

Mr. Funk elected Group I, claims 18-26.